

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDERICK WILLIAMS,

No. 2:20-cv-00008 GGH P

Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

TUOLUMNE COUNTY COURT,

Respondent.

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his
19 application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued by the Tuolumne County Superior Court.
20 ECF No. 1 at 1. Tuolumne County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District
21 Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

22 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper
23 division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the
24 court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. This court
25 will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

26 || Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

27 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No.
28 2);

2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno; and

3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at:

United States District Court
Eastern District of California
2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA 93721

Dated: January 16, 2020

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE